

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/814,114	C	03/22/2001	Michael L. Boyer II	8932-208-999	2083	
20582	7590	04/22/2003				
PENNIE &	_	IDS LLP	EXAMINER			
1667 K STREET NW SUITE 1000				HAMILTON, LALITA M		
WASHING	ron, bc	20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3624		
•				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			2
).	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/814,114	BOYER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lalita M Hamilton	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u>	ebruary 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
Application Papers	r election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	•	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	۱).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •		
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

On October 7, 2002, an Office Action was sent to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-55. On February 7, 2003, the Applicant responded by amending claims 1 and 40-41 and canceling claim 55.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 5-15, 17-22, 25-39, 41-43, 48-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyce, as set forth in the previous Office Action.

With regard to the amendments to claims 1 and 41, Boyce further discloses an opening defining an interior surface (col.6, lines 15-18) and the implant being formed of at least two different bones (col.4, lines 20-33).

Claims 3-4, 16, 23-24, 40, and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyce in view of McIntyre (4,950,296), as set forth in the previous Office Action.

With regard to the amendment to claim 40, Boyce further discloses a core fit in an innermost of sheaths (fig.1).

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 7, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner has acknowledged the claim to priority under provisional application no.60/191,099 filed on March 22, 2000.

The Applicant has argued that Boyce does not disclose inner and outer sheaths, layers in fig.2 forming a sheath with an opening defining an interior surface, or at least two layers of bone components coupled together. In response, *Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary* defines a sheath as "a covering". Boyce discloses layers forming what the Examiner is interpreting as "sheaths" in that they provide a covering for layers in between (fig.2). Boyce further discloses a sheath having an opening defining an interior surface (fig.1 and col.6, lines 14-18) and at least two layers of bone components coupled together (col.4, lines 1-33).

The Applicant has argued that Boyce does not disclose an implant. In response, Boyce clearly discloses that the device is directed to bone-derived implants (col.1, line 65 to col.2, line 40).

The Applicant has argued that the previous Office Action fails to cite where Boyce discloses "a cross-section of the sheaths and core". In response, in the previous Office Action, the Examiner cited fig.1 earlier in the beginning of the 103 rejection; therefore, the Examiner did not again cite figure 1 next to this limitation. In fig.1, Boyce discloses what the Examiner has interpreted to be "a body formed from a cross-section of a core and a plurality of sheaths (fig.1 and col.5, lines 60-67).

Art Unit: 3624

With regard to the McIntyre reference, the Applicant has argued that an implant having a plurality of sheaths formed of two different bones is not taught. In response, McIntyre discloses a sheath having a core (fig.1-4) and formed of cancellous bone and bone from the femur (col.2, line 57). The Examiner therefore found it to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the Boyce and McIntyre references to demonstrate that using cancellous bone and bone from the femur as alternative choices of material for the bone is well known in the art.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M Hamilton whose telephone number is (703) 306-5715. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (8:30-4:30).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-6101 for regular communications and (703) 746-6101 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-2272.

LMH

April 17, 2003

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINEF